

EUROFUSION WPMST1-PR(16) 14736

V Bobkov et al.

Progress in reducing ICRF-specific impurity release in ASDEX Upgrade and JET

Preprint of Paper to be submitted for publication in 22nd International Conference on Plasma Surface Interactions in Controlled Fusion Devices (22nd PSI)



This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 under grant agreement No 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission. This document is intended for publication in the open literature. It is made available on the clear understanding that it may not be further circulated and extracts or references may not be published prior to publication of the original when applicable, or without the consent of the Publications Officer, EUROfusion Programme Management Unit, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 3DB, UK or e-mail Publications.Officer@euro-fusion.org

Enquiries about Copyright and reproduction should be addressed to the Publications Officer, EUROfusion Programme Management Unit, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 3DB, UK or e-mail Publications.Officer@euro-fusion.org

The contents of this preprint and all other EUROfusion Preprints, Reports and Conference Papers are available to view online free at http://www.euro-fusionscipub.org. This site has full search facilities and e-mail alert options. In the JET specific papers the diagrams contained within the PDFs on this site are hyperlinked

Progress in reducing ICRF-specific impurity release in ASDEX Upgrade and JET

Vl. Bobkov^{a*}, D. Aguiam^b, M. Baruzzo^c, D. Borodin^d, I. Borodkina^{d,e}, S. Brezinsek^d, I. Coffey^f, L. Colas^g, A. Czarnecka^k, E. Delabieⁱ, P. Dumortier^k, F. Durodie^k, R. Dux^a, H. Faugel^a, H. Fünfgelder^a, C. Giroud^f, M. Goniche^g, J. Hobirk^a, A. Herrmann^a, J. Jacquot^a, Ph. Jacquet^f, A. Kallenbach^a, A. Krivska^k, C. C. Klepperⁱ, E. Lerche^k, S. Menmuir^f, D. Milanesio¹, R. Maggiora¹, I. Monakhov^f, F. Nave^b, R. Neu^{a,m}, J.-M. Noterdaeme^{a,n}, R. Ochoukov^a, Th. Pütterich^a, M. Reinkeⁱ, A. Tuccilo^c, O. Tudisco^c, D. Van Eester^k, Y. Wang^o, Q Yang^o, W. Zhang^{a,n}, ASDEX Upgrade Team, the EUROfusion MST1 Team⁺, JET contributors[#]

^b Instituto de Plasmas e Fusão Nuclear, Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal

^cENEA, Frascati, Italy

^d Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, Institut für Energie- und Klimaforschung – Plasmaphysik, Partner of the Trilateral Euregio Cluster

(TEC), 52425 Jülich, Germany

^e National Research Nuclear University (Mephi), Kashirskoe sh., 31, Moscow, Russia

^fCCFE, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 3DB, UK

^g CEA, IRFM, F-13108 Saint-Paul-Lez-Durance, France

^k Institute of Plasma Physics and Laser Microfusion, Hery 23 Str., 01-497 Warsaw, Poland

Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831-6169, USA ^kLPP-ERM-KMS, TEC partner, Brussels, Belgium

¹ Politecnico di Torino, Italy

^m Technische Universität München, Boltzmannstr. 15, 85748 Garching, Germany

ⁿ Applied Physics Department, University of Ghent, Ghent, Belgium

^o ASIPP, Institute of Plasma Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Hefei, China

EUROfusion Consortium, JET, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, OX14 3DB, UK

⁺See http://www.euro-fusionscipub.org/mst1 [#]See the Appendix of F. Romanelli et al., Proc. 25th IAEA FEC 2014, St Petersburg

Abstract

Use of new 3-strap ICRF antennas with all-tungsten (W) limiters in ASDEX Upgrade results in a reduction of the W sources at the antenna limiters and of the W content in the confined plasma by at least a factor of 2 compared to the W-limiter 2-strap antennas used in the past. The reduction is observed with a broad range of plasma shapes. In multiple locations of antenna frame, the limiter W source has a minimum when RF image currents are decreased by cancellation of the RF current contributions of the central and the outer straps. In JET with ITER-like wall, ITER-like antenna produces about 20% less of main chamber radiation and of W content compared to the old A2 antennas, although the effect of the A2 antennas on W content is scattered depending on which antennas are powered. Experiments in JET with trace nitrogen (N_2) injection show that a presence of active ICRF antenna close to the midplane injection valve has little effect on the core N content, both in dipole and in -90° phasing. This indicates that the effect of ICRF on impurity transport across the scape-off-layer is small in JET compared to the dominant effect on impurity sources leading to increased impurity levels during ICRF operation.

Keywords: ICRF; RF sheath; three-strap; 3-strap; ASDEX Upgrade; JET; ILW; A2 antenna; ILA; sputtering

1. Introduction

In various tokamaks with high-Z plasma facing components (PFCs), the use of Ion Cyclotron Range of Frequencies (ICRF) antennas is accompanied by increased high-Z impurity levels [1-3]. In ASDEX Upgrade (AUG) with a full tungsten (W) wall and in JET with the ITER-like wall (JET-ILW) increased W content is regularly observed for the hydrogen minority heating scenario with strong central wave absorption. Until recently, most applicable in practice for both machines was the method of tailoring discharge parameters such as gas injection and plasma position to reduce the ICRF-specific W content [4, 5]. After the installation of the new 3-strap antenna in AUG designed specifically to reduce the ICRF W sputtering and re-installation of the ITER-like antenna (ILA) in JET-ILW, it becomes possible to make further progress in the studies of the impact of antenna design on impurity release [6, 7]. Particularly the effect of the near electrical field (E-field) and its parallel component is thought to be important by driving the elevated sheath voltages and enhanced sputtering leading to increased impurity sources. At the same time, the question is often raised [8] whether the ICRF induced ExB convection ("convective cells") [9-11] due to rectified RF potentials can influence the penetration probability of impurities through the scrape-off layer (SOL) or the impurity source plays the dominant role in increasing the impurity content during ICRF operation. In this paper, we report on the comparison of antennas in AUG and JET; a basic assessment of operational principle of the new AUG 3-strap antenna [12] is given. The experimental study of the role of the ICRF convective cells on impurity transport in JET-ILW is also described.

2. Experimental setup and methods

The AUG antenna setup is shown in Fig. 1 for the old 2014 configuration when all antennas (named *a1* to *a4*) were 2-strap antennas (left), and for the new configuration for which two antennas are 3-strap antennas (middle); a view of the 3-strap antenna is on the right. The diagnostics shown are: the limiter spectroscopy indicated by lines in the left and in the middle figures and circles on the right; RF current measurements at the limiter tiles in green on the right. AUG antennas operate with *a1* and *a3* paired which have boron (B)-coated limiters and *a2* and *a4* paired which have W-coated limiters. The hydrogen minority scenario at 36.5 MHz (B_t=2.5 T) and at 30.0 MHz (B_t=2.0 T) with dipole strap phasing was used. The RF power to the central strap of the 3-strap antenna is controlled independently of the power to the outer straps. Scans of the strap power ratios were used to determine if the local RF currents at the limiters can be cancelled reducing the local near E-field at given coupled power and if W sputtering can be reduced accordingly.



Fig. 1. Left: 2014 AUG antenna configuration. Middle: configuration from 2015. Right: view of AUG 3-strap antenna a4 and diagnostics.

The JET ICRF antenna setup presented in Fig. 2 consists of 4 the so-called "A2" antennas [13] named A to D and the ILA antenna [14] located between antennas A and B. Only the lower row of ILA was used to obtain the data presented in this paper. The hydrogen minority scheme at 42 MHz and $B_t=2.6$ T was used with dipole antenna phasing, if not mentioned otherwise. Neither the A2 antenna nor the ILA antenna have any PFC made of W. The tungsten PFCs in JET-ILW are located in the divertor including its entrance, the NBI Shine-through protections and restraint ring protections at the high field side and single elements deep behind the limiters at the low field side [15]. An assessment of the influence of ICRF convective cells on impurity penetration [8] was carried out in JET by using N₂ injection, a low recycling impurity, close to antenna A in the cases when local antenna A or remote antenna D was powered. The neutral beam-enhanced N VII spectral line was measured giving a relative estimate of the N content in the confined plasma with respect to a fixed amount of nitrogen injected. ICRF phasings of -90 and +90 degrees were also used to extend the studies to the cases with increased RF near E-fields and enhanced convective cells.



Fig. 2. Experimental setup of A2 and ILA ICRF antennas and nitrogen injection in JET.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. ASDEX Upgrade 3-strap antenna compared to 2-strap antenna

A comparison of the B-coated 2-strap antennas with the old W-coated 2-strap antennas in 2014 as well as the comparison with the new W-coated 3-strap antennas in 2016 during a scan of plasma shapes (plasma triangularity δ_{upper} and plasma outermost position R_{out}) is shown on the left and on the right of Fig. 3 correspondingly. The discharges are H-mode discharges with auxiliary heating power (NBI + ECRH) P_{aux} =6.3 MW and addition of P_{ICRF} =1.5 MW at B_t =2.5 T and I_p =0.8MA. In 2014, the old 2 strap antennas with the W limiters produced significantly higher W sources (W influx Γ_W and effective W sputtering yield Y_W shown are averaged over the measurements at the right limiter of *a4*) and correspondingly higher W content in the plasma than the B-coated antennas. Especially significant in 2014 was the difference observed at high δ_{upper} with accumulating W content. In contrast to this, the 2016 time traces on the right of Fig. 3 show little to no difference in W sources and W content when operating the B-coated 2-strap antennas or the W-coated 3-strap antennas. The W content changes smoothly when δ_{upper} is ramped up without any sudden increase when the 3strap antennas are turned on and without a hint of W accumulation. Thus the use of the 3-strap antennas results in a significant reduction of the W content which is consistent with a reduction of the W sources.



Fig. 3. Comparison of the B-coated 2-strap antennas with the W coated 2-strap antennas in 2014 (left) and with the W-coated 3-strap antennas in 2016 (right) during δ_{upper} and R_{out} scans. Phases with the W-coated antennas powered are highlighted by magenta background

The design principle of the 3-strap antennas is a reduction of the RF image currents at the antenna frame which should lead to a reduction of the near E-field at the W-coated limiters. The data indicates that it is indeed the reason for the improvement with respect to the W-coated 2-straps antennas. In Fig. 4 the local measurements of the RF current and the corresponding W influx are presented as a function of the ratio $V_{central}/V_{outer}$ between the RF voltage at the antenna feeder of the central strap and that of the outer straps in dipole phasing. The voltages are measured at voltage maximum in the resonant lines of the feeders. The symbols correspond to those shown on the limiter tiles in Fig. 1, right side. The data were taken in discharges with $P_{aux}=5.0$ MW and $B_t=2.0$ T, $I_p=0.8$ MA. Saddle coils were operated to provide quite ELM-free conditions in the SOL at high density. Although the high density conditions decrease the sensitivity of the W release to parametric scans, the absence of the large excursions due to ELMs improves the data quality. The total ICRF power delivered solely by the 3-strap antennas was kept constant at $P_{ICRF}=1$ MW when the power balance between the strap was scanned. In multiple locations of the antenna frame on the left and on the right sides of the antenna, both the RF current and the W influx experience a minimum. The minima indicate the optimal $V_{central}/V_{outer}$ value when the RF image current of the central strap is mostly cancelled locally by that from the outer straps. The values at the minima do not reach zero, because local density fluctuations affect the phase of the RF image current at the limiters [16] and the total cancellation of the currents can only be experienced on a short time scale of the order of $\sim 10 \ \mu s$ which is characteristic of the density fluctuations in the SOL. The minima are location dependent; therefore during ICRF operation the optimum antenna feeding balance (working point for the 3-strap antennas) is usually set to reduce the core W content which also experiences a minimum [12].



Fig. 4. RF currents (10 ms time averages) and W influx (20 ms averages) measured at the antenna limiter as a function of ratio of voltages at the feeders. Left: left side of *a4* as seen from plasma, right: right side of *a4*. Symbol code is the same as indicated on the limiter tiles in Fig.1, right side.

3.2. JET ITER-like and A2 antennas

Although the ILA antenna at JET was not specifically designed to reduce impurity production, it has two main features which can help reducing the near E-field and impurity release: the frame is electrically well attached to the antenna as opposed to the A2 antenna; on both left and right sides the ILA is surrounded by large components parallel to the magnetic field which should reduce the parallel E-field. A comparison of the radiation from the bulk plasma $P_{rad.bulk}$ (estimated from bolometry) and of the W content c_W (estimated from VUV spectroscopy) produced by operation of the A2 antennas in JET-ILW to those of the ILA is shown in Fig. 5 as a function of an effective ICRF power for L-mode discharges at I_p =2MA with ICRF heating only. Absolute values of $P_{rad.bulk}$ and c_W are used which are approximately equal to the ICRF-specific increments of these quantities in the L-mode discharges considered. The effective ICRF power was re-normalized taking into account the plasma energy in order to correct for systematic errors in RF measurements. The efficiency of the ICRF hydrogen minority heating by the various k_{\parallel} spectra of the antennas was assumed the same.



Fig. 5. Comparison of bulk plasma radiation (left) and W content (right) during operation of various ICRF antennas in JET with ILW

The ILA operation is indeed accompanied by about 20% lower radiated power from the bulk plasma (left side of Fig. 5) in the L-mode discharges. Accordingly, the W content measured close to $T_e=1.5$ keV is also lower for the ILA (right side of Fig. 5). However there is no evidence that this improvement during operation of the lower row of ILA is a consequence of the presumable reductions of the near E-field features described above. Interestingly, a higher W content is observed when all A2 antennas are operated simultaneously (square symbols).

The reasons for the increased W levels during ICRF operation at JET are still unclear. Attempts to identify W sources responsible for this were not successful so far (e.g. [3, 17]). At the same time, multiple observations of enhanced beryllium sputtering on the field lines connected to active antennas and on the high field side were reported [18,19]. These have been successfully modeled by erosion models, confirming that elevated ICRF-specific sheath voltages exist in many locations at JET PFCs. In addition to the possibility that the increased sheath voltages increase W sources during ICRF operation in locations remote to the antennas, a transport effect could explain the elevated W source. In particular the RF-driven convective cells could potentially influence the cross-field transport in the SOL, as suggested in [9], and this is discussed next.

3.3. Role of ICRF induced density convection of impurity penetration in JET

By injecting a fixed amount of the N₂ molecules from single location in an L-mode discharge and toggling the ICRF power between the antennas, the influence of the proximity of the injection to the active antennas on the core N content was studied. Time traces of the power, N₂ gas rate and the core N content characterized by the N VII spectral line are shown in Fig. 6. No significant influence of proximity of the active antenna on the core N content is observed in the dipole phasing (see magenta time traces) when toggling the ICRF power between antennas A+B and D. This is independent of the order of the antennas: antennas are swapped on the right graph and apart from a somewhat elevated N content before the N_2 pulses, the evolution of the N content is very similar. When -90° phasing is used, a noticeable increase of the N level prior to the N₂ injection is observed which can be attributed to an additional release of N stored in the walls due to enhanced antennaplasma interactions at this phasing which also lead to increased release of other impurities, for example W. Towards the end of the N_2 pulses, no significant difference is seen in the increment of the N content for this phasing too. The phasing of +90° was also checked with similar results. These observations show that proximity of a powered antenna close to an impurity source does not affect penetration of these impurities significantly. We conclude that when using the ICRF heating, the effect of the source itself dominates over possible modifications of transport across the SOL by RF induced rectified potentials and convective cells. This result is similar to that observed in Alcator C-Mod [8].



Fig. 6. Reaction of the core nitrogen content (N VII spectral line) during pulsed injection of nitrogen close to antenna A. Left: dipole phasing. Right: antenna order swapped, dipole and -90° strap phasing.

4. Conclusions

Design and electrical tuning of ICRF antennas has a major influence on the W sputtering in ASDEX Upgrade. The W-coated 3-strap antennas designed to reduce the RF image current and the E-field at the antenna limiters is characterized by a drastic reduction of the W sources and of the W content in the confined plasma compared to the W-coated 2-strap antennas for various plasma shapes. Scans of the RF power between the central and the outer straps show location-dependent minima of the RF currents and an optimum operation point to minimize the W release at the antenna limiters, characteristic of the RF image current cancellation - validating the principle of the 3-strap antenna.

The JET-ILW ILA antenna with the lower row powered performs with an improvement of around 20% in terms of lower radiated power from the bulk plasma and W content. This could be explained by the antenna design which should produce less near E-fields, but no direct evidence exists proving this. Finally, JET-ILW experiments show that the role of the modification of the SOL transport by the convective cells driven by ICRF on core impurity content is small compared to the role of the ICRF-specific impurity sources.

Acknowledgements

This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 under grant agreement No 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission. This work is supported, in part, by the US DOE under Contract No. DE-AC05-00OR22725 with UT-Battelle, LLC.

References

- [1] S. Wukitch et al, J. Nucl. Mater. 363-365 (2007), 491
- [2] R. Neu et al, Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 49 (2007) B59-B70
- [3] Ph. Jacquet et al, Physics of Plasmas 21 (2014), 061510.
- [4] Vl. Bobkov et al, Nucl. Fusion 50 (2010) 035004.
- [5] E. Lerche e. et al, J. Nucl. Mater. 463 (2015) 634-639.
- [6] S. Wukitch et al, Phys. Plasmas 20 (2013) 056117.
- [7] Vl. Bobkov et al, Nucl. Fusion 53 (2013) 093018.
- [8] J.L. Terry et al, 57th APS DPP Annual Meeting, Nov. 16-20, 2015, Savannah, Georgia, GO4.00010.
- [9] M. Bécoulet et al, Phys. Plasmas 9 (2002), 2619.
- [10] L. Colas et al, Nucl. Fusion 45 (2005) 767.
- [11] W. Zhang et al, submitted to PPCF.
- [12] Vl. Bobkov et al, accepted for publication in Nucl. Fusion (2016).
- [13] A. Kaye et al, Fusion Eng. And Design 24 (1994) 1-21.
- [14] F. Durodié et al, Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion (2012) 54 074012.
- [15] V. Riccardo, et al., Phys. Scr. T138 (2009) 014033 (5pp).
- [16] Vl. Bobkov AIP Conf. Proc. 1689 (2015), 030004.
- [17] Vl. Bobkov et al, J. Nucl. Mater. 438 (2013), S160–S165.
- [18] C. C. Klepper et al, Phys. Scr. T167 (2016) 014035.
- [19] I. Borodkina et al, this conference, P.1.13.